Topological Color Codes

"Topological Quantum Distillation", Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 180501 (2006)

"Topological Computation without Braiding", Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 160502 (2007)

"Exact Topological Quantum Order in D=3 and Beyond", Phys. Rev. B 75, 075103 (2007)

"Optimal Resources for Topological Stabilizer Codes", Phys. Rev. A 76, 012305 (2007)

"Statistical Mechanical Models and Topological Color Codes", arXiv:0711.0468

Hector Bombin

Miguel Angel Martin-Delgado

Departamento de Física Teórica I Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Outline

- Stabilizer codes and transversal gates
- Topological codes: Surface codes
- Color codes
- Triangular codes: Transversal Clifford gates.
- Conection with classical statistical mechanics.
- *D*-colexes
- 3D color codes
- Tetrahedral codes: universality.
- Topological Order

Stabilizer Codes

A stabilizer code¹ C of length n is a subspace of the Hilbert space of a set of n qubits. It is defined by a stabilizer group S of Pauli operators, i.e., tensor products of Pauli matrices.

$$|\psi
angle\in\mathcal{C}\qquad\iff\qquad \forall\,s\in\mathcal{S}\quad s|\psi
angle=|\psi
angle$$

• Some stabilizer codes are specialy suitable for quantum computation. They allow to perform operations in a **transversal** and **uniform** way:



¹ D. Gottesman 95

Gate Sets

• Several codes allow the transversal implementation of the gates

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0\\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix}$$

which generate the **Clifford group**. This is useful for quantum information tasks such as teleportation or **entanglement distillation**.

 Quantum Reed-Muller codes¹ are very special. They allow universal computation through transversal gates

$$K^{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix}$$

and transversal measurements of *X* and *Z*.

• We will see how both sets of operations can be transversally implemented in 2D and 3D topological color codes:

Color Codes = Transversality + Topology

Topological Stabilizer Codes

• For a TSC we mean a code in which:

a) the **generators** of the stabilizer are **local** and

- b) non-detectable errors have a global (topological) nature.
- Usually we consider TSCs in which

a) qubits are placed on a surface,

- b) the stabilizer S is composed of **boundaries** and its normalizer N_S of **cycles**,
- c) non-detectable errors are related to cycles which are not boundaries (homology...).



Topological Stabilizer Codes

- When working with stabilizer codes, it is enough to measure a set of generators of the stabilizer in order to perform error correction.
- The nice property of TSCs is their locality: one can construct arbitrarily robust codes while the generators of the stabilizer remain local and with a fixed support.
- It turns out that the best strategy to perform error correction within TSCs is to continuously measure local generators (Dennis *et al.* '02).



Surface Codes

- To construct a surface code (Kitaev '07, aka toric code), one starts from a 4-valent lattice with 2-colorable faces.
- Each **vertex** corresponds to a **qubit**.
- The generators of the stabilizer are light and dark **plaquette operators**:

$$B_a^Z := Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4$$
$$B_b^Z := X_5 X_6 X_7 X_8$$





- Dark (light) string operators are products of Z-s (X-s).
- Plaquette operators generate the stabilizer: boundary string operators.
- Closed strings compose its normalizer.
- **Crossing** dark and light strings operators **anticommute**.
- **Encoded X-s** and **Z-s** can be chosen from those closed strings which are not boundaries.

Borders

- To obtain **planar** codes, we need to introduce the notion of border.
- An open strings has **endpoints** at plaquettes of its color. The string operator generates **violations** of the corresponding plaquette stabilizers.
- Then, if a plaquette operator is **missing**, strings can end at it and still be 'closed'.
- A dark (light) border is a big missing dark (light) plaquette, where dark (light) strings can end.
- Strings that start and end in the same border are boundaries.



Pancake Quantum Computer

- Imagine a quantum computer in the form of a **stack of layers** (Dennis *et al.* '02)
- Each layer corresponds to a single-qubit encoded in a **surface code**.
- Measurements of the stabilizers are continuous to **keep track of errors**.
- CNot gates are performed in a purely **transversal** way, but others require **code deformations** and **distillation**.
- Can we find topological codes implementing other gates transversally? **Yes!**



Color Codes

- Color codes are obtained from trivalent lattices with 3-colorable faces.
- Faces are classified in red, green and blue.
- Each **vertex** corresponds to a **qubit**.

2 4 5
$$B_f^X = X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6$$

b $B_f^Z = Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4 Z_5 Z_6$

- The generators of the stabilizer are X and Z **plaquette operators**.
- As plaquettes, strings come in three colors.
- Strings not only can be deformed. A new feature appears: **branching** points.



String Operators

- For each colored string S, there are a pair of string operators, S^X and S^Z, products of Xs or Zs along S.
- String operators either commute or anticommute.
- Two string operators **anticommute** when they have **different color and type** and **cross** an odd number of times.



- As in surface codes, encoded *X* and *Z* operators can be chosen from closed string operators which are not boundaries.
- The number of **encoded qubits** is **twice** as in a surface code:



Surface code: 2 qubits

Color code: 4 qubits

Borders and String-Nets

• Borders are big missing plaquettes. Their color is that of the erased plaquette.



- Both examples encode 2 qubits, but the second requires **string-net operators**.
- These have a new feature, which turns out to be crucial in orther to be able to implement transversally the whole Clifford group:

$$\begin{bmatrix} S^X, S^Z \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\{T^X, T^Z\} = 0$$

Triangular Codes

- These are color codes encoding a **single qubit**.
- All strings in such a code are boundaries (belong to the stabilizer).
- The encoded X and Z are given by the **string-net** operators T^X and T^Z .



- A transversal *H* leaves the code invariant.
 For a transversal *K*, this is true only if the vertices per face are *v*=4*x*:
- Under this condition, in triangular codes we can implement transversally *H* and *K* gates because:

$$\hat{H}B_f^X\hat{H}^{\dagger} = B_f^Z \quad \hat{K}B_f^X\hat{K}^{\dagger} = (-)^{\frac{v}{2}}B_f^XB_f^Z \qquad \hat{H}\hat{X}\hat{H}^{\dagger} = \hat{Z} \qquad \hat{K}\hat{X}\hat{K}^{\dagger} = \pm i\hat{X}\hat{Z}$$

$$\hat{H}B_f^Z\hat{H}^{\dagger} = B_f^X \quad \hat{K}B_f^Z\hat{K}^{\dagger} = B_f^Z \qquad \hat{H}\hat{Z}\hat{H}^{\dagger} = \hat{X} \qquad \hat{K}\hat{Z}\hat{K}^{\dagger} = \hat{Z}$$

- The CNot is also transversal as in surface codes: both families of codes are CSS.
- Thus we can implement the whole **Clifford group** transversally.

Classical Statistical Models

Color codes can be connected with certain classical 3-body Ising models. Their partition function is the overlapping of a color code and certain product state:

$$\mathcal{H} \coloneqq -J \sum_{\langle i,j,k
angle} \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \qquad \qquad \mathcal{Z}(eta J) \propto ig \Psi_{
m c} ig \Phi_{
m P} ig ,$$

- For honeycomb and 4-8 lattices, the model lives in triangular and union-jack lattices.
- Recall that transversal *K* gates are possible in 4-8 lattices but not in the honeycomb.
- At the same time, the **universality classes** of the classical models are **different**!



• **Random** versions of these classical models appear in the computation of the **threshold** of color codes (work in progress).

D-Colexes

- Color codes can be generalized to higher spatial dimensions *D*.
- First we have to generalize our 2D lattice. Note that **edges** can be **colored** in accordance with faces, so that at each vertex there are 3 links meeting, one of each color.

P





Local appearance of the lattice.

• In fact, the **whole structure** of the lattice is contained in its **colored graph**: faces can be reconstructed from edge coloring.







Т

D-Colexes

- In dimension *D*, we consider graphs with *D*+1 edges meeting at each vertex, of *D*+1 different colors.
- Such graphs, with certain additional properties, give rise to *D*-manifolds. We call the resulting colored lattices *D*-colexes (for color complex).
- Of particular interest is the case D=3:



3D Color Codes

• Again one qubit per vertex, but now we have face and (3-) cell operators generating S.



- **Strings** are constructed as in 2-D, but now come in **four colors**.
- The new feature are **membranes**. They come in **six color** combinations and, as strings, have **branching** properties.



3D Color Codes

- Now there are **3 independent** colors for strings (and 3 combinations for membranes).
- The number of **encoded qubits** is $3h_1 = 3h_2$, where h_i is the *i*-th Betty number.
- String and membrane operators **anticommute** only if they **share a color** and the string **crosses** an odd number of times the membrane.
- Encoded X and Z operators can be chosen from closed string and membrane operators which are not boundaries.





A pauli basis for the operators on the 3 qubits encoded in S2xS1.

Tetrahedral Codes

- 3-colexes cannot be constructed in our everyday 3D world keeping the locality structure unless we allow boundaries.
- As in *2D*, **borders** are big erased cells and they have the **color** of the **erased cell**.
- Given a border of color *c*, strings can end at it if they are *c*-strings and membranes can end at it if they are *xy*-strings with *x* and *y* different of *c*.
- The analogue of triangular codes are **tetrahedral** codes, which encode a **single** qubit.



The desired transversal K^{1/2} gate can be implemented as long as faces have 4x vertices and cells 8x vertices. The trick is analogous to that in Reed-Muller codes:

$$\begin{split} |\hat{0}\rangle &:= \prod_{c} (1 + B_{c}^{X}) |\mathbf{0}\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V} |\mathbf{v}\rangle & |\hat{1}\rangle := \hat{X} |\hat{0}\rangle & \hat{K}^{1/2} |\hat{0}\rangle = |\hat{0}\rangle \\ \forall \, \mathbf{v} \in V \quad \text{wt}(\mathbf{v}) \equiv 0 \mod 8 & l = 1, 3, 5, 7 & \hat{K}^{1/2} |\hat{1}\rangle = i^{l/2} |\hat{1}\rangle \end{split}$$

Topological Order

• A physical system showing **topological order** can be related to every TSC:

$$H = -\sum_{O \in \mathcal{S}'} O$$
 $\mathcal{S}' =$ Set of local generators of \mathcal{S}

- For *2*-colexes, the excitations are abelian **anyons**, because monodromy operations can give global phases.
- For *3*-colexes, **charges and fluxes** exist. The topological content is related to the fact that charges can wind arround fluxes.
- For *D*-colexes, the resulting systems are **brane-net condensates**. The excitations are abelian **branyons**. For *D*>3, different topological orders are possible.



Conclusions

- *D*-colexes are *D*-valent complexes with *D*-colorable edges.
- Topological color codes are obtained from *D*-colexes.
- 2-colexes allow transversal Clifford gates.
- 3-colexes allow the same transversal gates as Reed-Muller codes.
- 2D color codes are related to classical 3-body Ising models.
- Brane-net condensate models arrise from color codes.